

CODE OF ETHICS AND PUBLICATION MALPRACTICE STATEMENT

1. GENERAL PRINCIPLES

1.1. Annals of the Croatian Political Science Association is a peer-reviewed journal published by the University of Zagreb, Faculty of Political Science, and the Croatian Political Science Association. The journal's publishing policy is based on a double-blind peer-review process. Submitted articles are evaluated on the basis of at least two anonymous reviews.

1.2. This Code defines the standards of ethical conduct and regulates the relations of all parties involved in the publication process: authors, editors, reviewers and the publishers.

1.3. The journal is not a formal member of any of the international associations that deal with publishing ethics, but in case of doubt about the interpretation of the provisions of this code or possible lacunae in regulation, it refers to the rules of good practice and guidelines issued by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) as applicable where possible and appropriate: https://publicationethics.org/guidance/Guidelines

2. DUTIES OF EDITORS

2.1. The Editor-in-Chief, Executive Editor and Editorial Board determine the suitability of manuscripts submitted for publication, according to previously arranged conditions. Any manuscript submitted to journal shall be considered for publication if it fits into focus and scope of the journal and if it is meeting the basic quality criteria.

2.2. Selected manuscripts are sent to two reviewers for double-blind review. Reviewers are chosen by the Editor, in consultations with the Editorial Board. Reviewers are chosen based on their scientific qualifications, expertise in the subject area under review and knowledge of the language of the manuscript. The Editor may disagree with recommendations of the Editorial Board and refuse to submit the manuscript for review if he/she determines that the manuscript does not meet the journal criteria or finds evidence of plagiarism.

2.3. All authors are guaranteed equal treatment. The Editor will treat fairly all authors regardless of their race, religion, gender, age, political orientation, geographic origin, or any other innate or acquired identity trait.

2.4. All submissions and authors are guaranteed confidentiality and privacy prior to publication. The Editor and Executive Editor should not disclose any information about the assigned reviewers to anyone other than the Editorial Board, nor should they publicly discuss the



potential reviewers they intend to include in the review process. The above mentioned information should not be available to any other party involved in the publication process.

2.5. To maintain authors' and reviewers' privacy and anonymity, specific numeric codes shall be assigned to unpublished materials and manuscripts submitted to the journal. The Editor and Executive Editor must not use unpublished data disclosed in the submitted manuscripts for their own benefit and should not use any privileged information contained in the manuscripts. The secrecy of individual information obtained during research undertaken by author(s) shall be specially protected.

3. DUTIES OF REVIEWERS

3.1. After accepting an invitation to review a manuscript, reviewers should evaluate the manuscript as fairly and objectively as possible using the Reviewer's Evaluation Form.

3.2. If a reviewer feels inadequately qualified to proceed with a review process, he/she should indicate it promptly to the Editors.

3.3. A reviewer should not disclose information about a manuscript and the review process to anyone other than editors and authors, i.e. he/she should not publicly discuss any content of the manuscript.

3.4. Reviewers should call to the Editor's attention any failure of authors to cite correctly other relevant research as well as any case of plagiarism of information or statements found in a manuscript.

3.5. Reviewers should avoid any potential conflict of interest, particularly any personal or professional connection with authors, companies or institutions related to a manuscript under review.

4. DUTIES OF AUTHORS

4.1. Authors should submit an original manuscript and ensure that the data, references and persons indicated in the manuscript are accurate. If the submitted manuscript contains empirical research, the authors should fully disclose the research methodology to allow for the iteration and verification of the research.

4.2. An author should provide original research results, including properly arranged figures and tables, etc. to ensure transparency for reviewers and facilitate the preparation of data for publication.



4.3. Authors should only submit original work. If the authors have used the ideas, words, sentences, data, statements and citations of others, they should ensure that this has been appropriately cited.

4.4. Authorship is limited to those who have made a substantial contribution to the manuscript. The authors who have submitted the manuscript for publication should ensure that all those who have made substantial contributions are listed as coauthors. Individual authors should be distinguished from other contributors who may have participated in only one aspect of the submitted manuscript (e.g., in field research), but have not been involved in the writing and revision of the manuscript submitted for publication in this journal.

4.5. If a manuscript contains research concerning children or sensitive social groups, authors should ensure that the research complied with all legal regulations and ethical codes defining the duties and responsibilities of researchers.

4.6. Authors should avoid any potential conflict of interest, particularly those that might have influenced the results of their study. All sources of financial support should be acknowledged in the manuscript. If the sources of funding are not disclosed in the manuscript, it is assumed that the study was funded by the authors.

4.7. If an author discovers a significant error in his/her work (e.g. incorrect citation, inaccurate data, etc.), he/she should promptly notify the editors at any stage of publication.

5. CORRECTIONS AND RETRACTIONS

Corrections of published texts

5.1. In the case of significant errors in the text noticed after its publication, which should be corrected for reasons of academic integrity of the text itself and the journal as a whole, a full notice of corrections will be added at the beginning of the online version of the article, and in the special notice at the end of the next printed edition of the journal.

5.2 The editor-in-chief decides on the publication of corrections in consultation with the editorial board, and the procedure can be initiated by any interested party – authors, readers, interested institutions or the editor.

Retractions of published texts

5.3. In the case of subsequent knowledge related to an already published article, which includes strong evidence of falsification or manipulation of data or other significant violations of the fundamental rules of scientific research, plagiarism of an article, re-publication of already published content without appropriate permissions and notifications, use of protected data without permission, significant violation of ethical norms, significant corruption of the review process, failure to declare a significant conflict of interest, and other academically justified reasons that point to the academic inadmissibility of the text, the text will be retracted.



5.4. A notice of retraction with full information on the reasons of the decision, including all the relevant facts of the case, will be published at the beginning of the online version of the article and at the end of the next printed issue of the journal, and the journal will announce the retraction through its usual communication channels.

5.5. The decision to retract the article is made by the editor-in-chief in consultation with the editorial board and, if necessary, with independent reviewers.

5.6. If the report of serious violations does not come from the authors themselves, the editorin-chief, after determining the justification of the complaints, will inform them about the retraction and give them the opportunity to respond as well as participate with a statement in the notice of retraction.

6. DUTIES OF THE PUBLISHER

6.1. The publisher should ensure that the review process remains anonymous after publication and that the contents of any issue are not disclosed at the stages of data processing and publication preparation before the journal is published online, printed and distributed, with the exception of online first publication of individual articles or a group of thematically interconnected articles and reviews on the web pages of the journal and in the academic databases.